

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/918,497	HONDO, SHINYA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean B. Corrielus	2611	

All Participants:

(1) Jean B. Corrielus.

(2) Laura Moskowitz.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's rep. agrees to amend claim 14 as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary to correct minor informalities so as to place the application in better form for allowance

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)